Simulating thermodynamics ensembles what you simulate is what you would measure

Marcus Elstner and Tomáš Kubař

May 22, 2015

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Intro

- system of classical particles interacting with potential V
 deterministic system
- given initial conditions (r
 ₀ and v
 ₀), trajectory of the system (r
 (t) and v
 (t)) is determined for all of the future t → ∞
- for some systems analytic solution e.g. harmonic oscillator:

$$x(t) = x_0 \cdot \cos[\omega t]$$
 $v(t) = -v_0 \cdot \sin[\omega t]$

Intro

for a complex system – trajectory is obtained numerically

- so-called chaotic systems strictly deterministic, too
- chaos two trajectories close in phase space initially will depart exponentially from each other (solution of the eqns of motion is unstable)

Intro

stable and unstable solutions of eqns of motion



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Intro

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Intro

stochastic process - when we do not have sufficient information
 about all of the degrees of freedom of the system
then, we have to describe the systems with statistical mechanics

what we need – techniques to control basic simulation parameters – temperature, possibly pressure etc.

T – determines if a region of phase space shall be reached in MD phase space – different sampling at high and at low temperatures

- different ensembles will be generated

Intro

stochastic process - when we do not have sufficient information
 about all of the degrees of freedom of the system
then, we have to describe the systems with statistical mechanics

what we need – techniques to control basic simulation parameters – temperature, possibly pressure etc.

 ${\cal T}$ – determines if a region of phase space shall be reached in MD phase space – different sampling at high and at low temperatures

- different ensembles will be generated

particularly important – to find a way to model the system, so that we obtain correct phase space density

Intro

high E – multiple different regions of the phase space are reached low E – restricted available region of phase space



difference $E - E_{pot}$ corresponds to E_{kin} and temperature

Microcanonical / NVE ensemble

Isolated system

- exchanges with surroundings neither energy (heat / work) nor matter (particles)
- total energy of system: $E = E_{kin} + E_{pot} = const$
- individually, *E*_{kin} and *E*_{pot} fluctuate in the course of time as they are being transformed into each other
- is what we get when using the Verlet method for a molecule

trajectory in the microcanonical ensemble

Isolated system

kinetic theory of gases \rightarrow relation of $E_{\rm kin}$ and temperature:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle E_{\rm kin} \rangle &=& \displaystyle \frac{3}{2} N k T \\ \mbox{where } \langle E_{\rm kin} \rangle &=& \displaystyle \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_i \left\langle v_i^2 \right\rangle \\ \mbox{so } T &=& \displaystyle \frac{\sum_{i} m_i \left\langle v_i^2 \right\rangle}{3 N k} \end{array}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

'local' temperature

- fluctuates in time
- may differ between different parts of system

Microcanonical / NVE ensemble

Isolated and closed system

experimental setup (a test tube with a sample)

- usually in thermodynamic equilibrium with the surroundings
- temperature (and optionally pressure) equal as that of surr.



Closed system

- thermal contact of system with surroundings
- exchange of energy in the form of heat until the temperature of surroundings is reached
- strictly speaking: T only defined with such thermal contact $(\rightarrow N/A \text{ in case of isolated system})$

trajectory in the canonical ensemble

Canonical ensemble

velocity / speed of atoms - Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

$$p(v_{x,i}) = \sqrt{\frac{m_i}{2\pi kT}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{m_i v_{x,i}^2}{2kT}\right]$$
$$p(v_i) = 4\pi \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi kT}\right)^{3/2} \cdot v_i^2 \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{m_i v_i^2}{2kT}\right]$$

Canonical ensemble

velocity / speed of atoms - Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Equipartition theorem

(Gleichverteilungssatz) (DOF = degree of freedom) Every DOF contains the same average amount of kinetic energy of

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2}m_i v_{x,i}^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}kT$$

Each atom *i* has 3 DOF x_i , y_i and z_i (and $v_i^2 = v_{x,i}^2 + v_{y,i}^2 + v_{z,i}^2) \rightarrow$

$$\langle E_{\rm kin} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_i v_{x,i}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_i v_{y,i}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_i v_{z,i}^2 \right\rangle = \frac{3}{2} N k T$$

Equipartition theorem

(Gleichverteilungssatz) (DOF = degree of freedom) Every DOF contains the same average amount of kinetic energy of

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2}m_i v_{x,i}^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}kT$$

Each atom i has 3 DOF x_i , y_i and z_i (and $v_i^2 = v_{x,i}^2 + v_{y,i}^2 + v_{z,i}^2) \rightarrow$

$$\langle E_{kin} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{i}^{2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{x,i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{y,i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{z,i}^{2} \right\rangle = \frac{3}{2} N k T$$

Such a distribution of velocity and kinetic energy is a property of systems in contact with heat bath (not of isolated system)

Question: How can we control the temperature in simulation?

Naïve thermostat - scaling of velocities

in a Verlet MD simulation – 'instantaneous temperature' T deviates from the target T_{ref} (of bath = the surroundings)

$$T(t) = rac{2}{3} rac{E_{
m kin}(t)}{Nk}
eq T_{
m ref}$$

T(t) – another name for E_{kin} determined by velocities simple idea – scale the velocities by a certain factor λ :

Naïve thermostat - scaling of velocities

in a Verlet MD simulation – 'instantaneous temperature' T deviates from the target T_{ref} (of bath = the surroundings)

$$T(t) = rac{2}{3} rac{E_{\mathsf{kin}}(t)}{Nk}
eq T_{\mathsf{ref}}$$

T(t) – another name for E_{kin} determined by velocities simple idea – scale the velocities by a certain factor λ :

$$T_{\text{ref}} = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}Nk} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i} (\lambda \cdot v_{i})^{2} =$$
$$= \lambda^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}Nk} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i} v_{i}^{2} = \lambda^{2} \cdot T$$

scaling of all velocities by $\lambda=\sqrt{{\it T}_{\rm ref}/{\it T}}~\rightarrow~{\it T}_{\rm ref}$ reached exactly

Naïve thermostat - scaling of velocities

- very crude way of controlling the temperature
- rescaling the velocities affects the 'natural' way of evolution of the system
- velocities not sure if the distribution is correct (M–B)
- importantly, system does not sample any canonical ensemble
 phase space density is not that of a canonical ensemble
 very important because everything is calculated as averages:

$$\langle A \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \rho \cdot A \, \mathrm{d}\vec{r} \, \mathrm{d}\vec{p}$$

 \blacksquare possibly: wrong sampling \rightarrow wrong averages

Naïve thermostat - scaling of velocities

How to avoid the drastic changes to the dynamics? adjust velocities more smoothly, in the direction of T_{ref} , resigning on T_{ref} to be recovered in every step immediately

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Berendsen thermostat

- system coupled to infinite bath with temperature T_{ref}
- temperature changes between two time steps according to

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}T}{\mathsf{d}t} = \frac{1}{\tau} \left(T_{\mathsf{ref}} - T \right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Berendsen thermostat

- system coupled to infinite bath with temperature T_{ref}
- temperature changes between two time steps according to

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}\,T}{\mathsf{d}\,t} = \frac{1}{\tau}\left(T_{\mathsf{ref}} - T\right)$$

 rate of change of *T* (due to the change of velocities) is proportional to the deviation of actual *T* from *T*_{ref}
 constant of proportionality – relaxation time *τ* – exponential decay of temperature towards *T*_{ref}:

$$\Delta T = rac{\Delta t}{ au} (T_{
m ref} - T)$$

Berendsen thermostat

$$\Delta T = \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} \left(T_{\rm ref} - T \right)$$

• for that, velocities are scaled by λ as above:

$$T_{\text{new}} = T + \Delta T = T + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} (T_{\text{ref}} - T)$$
$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{T_{\text{new}}}{T}} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} \left(\frac{T_{\text{ref}}}{T} - 1\right)}$$

• usually: $\tau = 0.1 - 10$ ps

• T is still fluctuating – however around the desired value T_{ref}

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Berendsen thermostat

fluctuation of temperature – desired property for canonical ensemble – variance of 'inst. temperature' *T*:

$$\sigma_T^2 = \left\langle (T - \langle T \rangle)^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle T^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle T \right\rangle^2$$

and relative variance

$$\frac{\sigma_T^2}{\langle T \rangle^2} = \frac{2}{3N}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Berendsen thermostat

fluctuation of temperature – desired property for canonical ensemble – variance of 'inst. temperature' *T*:

$$\sigma_T^2 = \left\langle (T - \langle T \rangle)^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle T^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle T \right\rangle^2$$

and relative variance

$$\frac{\sigma_T^2}{\langle T \rangle^2} = \frac{2}{3N}$$

large number of atoms N: fluctuations $\rightarrow 0$ finite-sized systems: visible fluctuation of temperature

- feature of the canonical ensemble
- we would not obtain this with the simple velocity scaling

Canonical / NVT ensemble

Berendsen thermostat

drawbacks:

does not generate correct canonical ensemble

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Berendsen thermostat

drawbacks:

- does not generate correct canonical ensemble
- various parts of the system (different individual molecules, or solute × solvent) may exhibit different temperatures, while the temperature of the entire system is 'correct'
 may remain like that for extended periods of time

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Berendsen thermostat

drawbacks:

- does not generate correct canonical ensemble
- various parts of the system (different individual molecules, or solute × solvent) may exhibit different temperatures, while the temperature of the entire system is 'correct'
 may remain like that for extended periods of time
- gradually moves the energy from the fastest modes of motion to the slowest/weakest ones, violating the equipartition the fastest – bond stretching and angle bending loss of energy → 'freezing' of the molecules the slowest – 3 transl'ns (+ 3 rot'ns) of the entire system energy gain → 'flying (+ spinning) ice cube'

Andersen thermostat

from time to time, some particles (atoms) are selected randomly to undergo a 'collision' with the particles of a heat bath, which changes their velocities suddenly

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Andersen thermostat

from time to time, some particles (atoms) are selected randomly to undergo a 'collision' with the particles of a heat bath, which changes their velocities suddenly

this algorithm has a certain stochastic character:

- start MD with a standard integrator (Verlet...)
- select randomly the atoms that shall be hit by the bath
- for these atoms, draw new velocities from Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, and keep all of the other atoms untouched

Andersen thermostat

from time to time, some particles (atoms) are selected randomly to undergo a 'collision' with the particles of a heat bath, which changes their velocities suddenly

this algorithm has a certain stochastic character:

- start MD with a standard integrator (Verlet...)
- select randomly the atoms that shall be hit by the bath
- for these atoms, draw new velocities from Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, and keep all of the other atoms untouched

advantage – generates canonical ensemble (if implemented right) rate of collisions must be neither too low (inefficient) nor too high (collisions would dominate the dynamics over eqns of motion)

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

- conceptionally and mathematically > difficult to understand
- heat bath is treated not as an external element rather as an integral part of the system
- the bath an additional DOF s with fictitious mass Q may be understood as time-scaling parameter:

$$\mathrm{d}t' = s \cdot \mathrm{d}t$$

- eqns of motion will be propagated for this extended system, for which an energy-like quantity will be conserved
- generates canonical NVT ensemble of the molecular system

Canonical / NVT ensemble

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

expression for the energy of the system involves the bath:

$$E_{
m pot} = U(r) + g \cdot kT_{
m ref} \cdot \log s$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• g – number of DOF of the system = 3N + 1

T_{ref} – reference temperature

Canonical / NVT ensemble

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

expression for the energy of the system involves the bath:

$$E_{
m pot} = U(r) + g \cdot kT_{
m ref} \cdot \log s$$

g - number of DOF of the system = 3N + 1
 T_{ref} - reference temperature

$$E_{\rm kin} = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i s^2 \dot{r}_i'^2 + \frac{1}{2} Q s'^2$$

attention needed – derivatives w.r.t. the modified time:

$$dt' \neq dt \rightarrow \dot{r}'_i \neq \dot{r}_i$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Canonical / NVT ensemble

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

eqns of motion for the extended system (3N + 1 DOF) derived with the Hamiltonian formalism:

$$H(r',\dot{r}',s,\dot{s}') = E_{pot} + E_{kin}$$

eqns for the molecular DOF:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r_i}{\mathrm{d}t'} = \frac{1}{m_i} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{r}'_i} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{r}'_i}{\mathrm{d}t'} = -\frac{1}{m_i} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial r'_i}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Canonical / NVT ensemble

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

eqns of motion for the extended system (3N + 1 DOF) derived with the Hamiltonian formalism:

$$H(r',\dot{r}',s,\dot{s}') = E_{pot} + E_{kin}$$

eqns for the molecular DOF:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r_i}{\mathrm{d}t'} = \frac{1}{m_i} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{r}'_i} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{r}'_i}{\mathrm{d}t'} = -\frac{1}{m_i} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial r'_i}$$

eqns for the additional DOF:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}t'} = \frac{1}{Q} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{s}'} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{s}'}{\mathrm{d}t'} = -\frac{1}{Q} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial s'}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Canonical / NVT ensemble

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

we obtain these eqns of motion:

$$\ddot{r}_i' = \frac{F_i}{m_i} \cdot \frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{2\dot{s}'}{s} \cdot \dot{r}_i'$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

black – usual Newtonian eqns of motion
 red – bath s integrated into the propagation,
 rather than correcting velocities a posteriori

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

we obtain these eqns of motion:

$$\ddot{r}_i' = \frac{F_i}{m_i} \cdot \frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{2\dot{s}'}{s} \cdot \dot{r}_i'$$

black – usual Newtonian eqns of motion
 red – bath s integrated into the propagation,
 rather than correcting velocities a posteriori

one more eqn of motion – for the bath coordinate s:

$$\ddot{s}' = \frac{1}{Qs} \left(\sum_{i} m_i s^2 \dot{r}_i^2 - g \cdot k T_{\text{ref}} \right)$$

Canonical / NVT ensemble

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

such eqns are impractical because

• they work with transformed velocities \dot{r}' and accelerations \ddot{r}'

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• time steps are not equally long $(\Delta t' = s \cdot \Delta t)$

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

such eqns are impractical because

• they work with transformed velocities \dot{r}' and accelerations \ddot{r}'

• time steps are not equally long $(\Delta t' = s \cdot \Delta t)$

to make things clearer, we

- return from the modified time scale t' to the usual t
- transform the eqns to the usual vaiables \dot{r} , \ddot{r}
- for the 'velocity of bath': pass from \dot{s} to $\gamma = \frac{\dot{s}}{s}$

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

final form of the eqns of motion:

$$\ddot{r}_i = \frac{F_i}{m_i} - \gamma \cdot \dot{r}_i$$

2nd term: formally – a kind of 'friction' (bath)

$$\dot{\gamma} = rac{1}{Q} \left(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{ref}}
ight)$$

note: $\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2}m_i \dot{r}_i^2 = 3N \cdot \frac{1}{2}kT$

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

strength of coupling controlled by Q – more intuitively time τ :

$$Q = \frac{\tau^2 \cdot T_{\mathsf{ref}}}{4\pi^2}$$

 meaning of period of oscillation of the kinetic energy between the real system and the bath

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

strength of coupling controlled by Q – more intuitively time τ :

$$Q = \frac{\tau^2 \cdot T_{\mathsf{ref}}}{4\pi^2}$$

- meaning of period of oscillation of the kinetic energy between the real system and the bath
- difference between τ in Berendsen and in Nosé–Hoover: Berendsen – exponential damping of ΔT with τ Nosé–Hoover – oscillatory relaxation of T with period τ

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

strength of coupling controlled by Q – more intuitively time τ :

$$Q = \frac{\tau^2 \cdot T_{\mathsf{ref}}}{4\pi^2}$$

- meaning of period of oscillation of the kinetic energy between the real system and the bath
- difference between τ in Berendsen and in Nosé–Hoover: Berendsen – exponential damping of ΔT with τ Nosé–Hoover – oscillatory relaxation of T with period τ
- thermostat incorporated in eqns of motion inseparable part of the integrator, rather than a posteriori correction

Nosé–Hoover thermostat

strength of coupling controlled by Q – more intuitively time τ :

$$Q = \frac{\tau^2 \cdot T_{\mathsf{ref}}}{4\pi^2}$$

- meaning of period of oscillation of the kinetic energy between the real system and the bath
- difference between τ in Berendsen and in Nosé–Hoover: Berendsen – exponential damping of ΔT with τ Nosé–Hoover – oscillatory relaxation of T with period τ
- thermostat incorporated in eqns of motion inseparable part of the integrator, rather than a posteriori correction
- generates canonical phase-space density, used frequently

Introducing pressure

chemical reality – constant pressure rather than constant volume goal – implement such conditions in simulations, too

How to calculate pressure? – first, calculate virial of force

$$\Xi = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \vec{r}_{ij} \cdot \vec{F}_{ij}$$

 $(\vec{r}_{ij} \text{ distance of atoms } i \text{ and } j, \vec{F}_{ij} - \text{pairwise force between them})$

Introducing pressure

chemical reality – constant pressure rather than constant volume goal – implement such conditions in simulations, too

How to calculate pressure? – first, calculate virial of force

$$\Xi = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \vec{r}_{ij} \cdot \vec{F}_{ij}$$

 $(\vec{r}_{ij} \text{ distance of atoms } i \text{ and } j, \vec{F}_{ij} - \text{pairwise force between them})$

$$P = \frac{2}{3V} \cdot (E_{kin} - \Xi) = \frac{2}{3V} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i} m_{i} \cdot |\vec{v}_{i}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i < j} \vec{r}_{ij} \cdot \vec{F}_{ij}\right)$$

note – no mention of the idea of particles colliding with the wall also, virial pressure fluctuates greatly and may even be negative

Measuring pressure

T and P in an NPT simulation of a DNA oligomer in water ($T_{ref} = 300$ K, $P_{ref} = 1.0$ bar)



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三 のへの

Controlling pressure

we can calculate the pressure

 so how do we maintain it at a constant value?
 barostat - algorithm that is equivalent of a thermostat, just that it varies volume of the box instead of velocities

the scaling of the volume is usually isotropic, except for special systems (e.g. membranes) it shall be semi-isotropic (xy+z) for such geometries

several options are available:

Berendsen barostat

- equivalent to the Berendsen thermostat
- molecular system coupled to a 'force / pressure bath' piston
- direct rescaling of box lengths and atom coordinates:

$$\mu = 1 - \frac{\beta}{3} \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} (P_{\text{ref}} - P)$$

$$\vec{r}'_{i} = \mu \cdot \vec{r}_{i}$$

• β – compressibility; β = 0.000045 bar⁻¹ for H₂O

Parrinello-Rahman barostat

- extended-ensemble barostat much like Nosé–Hoover algo.
- eqns of motion contain box lengths *b* as additional DOFs:

$$\ddot{r}_i = rac{F_i}{m_i} - rac{\dot{b}}{b} \cdot \dot{r}_i$$

additional eqn of motion for the dimensions of the box:

$$\ddot{b} = rac{V}{b} \cdot W^{-1} \cdot (P - P_{\mathsf{ref}})$$

• strength of coupling – due to mass parameter W^{-1} :

Note: these equations have been oversimplified...